# Searching for accretion among low-mass members in the Orion Nebula Cluster

## Raquel.Albuquerque@astro.up.pt

STARRY Final Conference – 19 June 2019

PORTO

ACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS

Collaborators: J. F. Gameiro (FCUP, IA)

- J. J. G. Lima (FCUP, IA)
- C. Sauty (Obs. Paris LUTh)
- S. H. P. Alencar (UFMG)
- C. Melo (ESO)



# ONC → Orion Nebula Cluster (M42 / NGC 1976)

- One of the nearest and massive star forming clusters
- Radius ~ 3 pc
   Hillenbrand (1997); Hillenbrand+(2013)
- Age ~ 2.2 Myr
   Reggiani+(2011)
- Distance: 388 ± 5 pc
   Kounkel+(2017)



### 1. Introduction

# **Motivation:** Is there on-going accretion among low-mass members of the ONC?

- Previously in Biazzo+(2009):
  - Characterized 91 low-mass ONC members (FLAMES/GIRAFFE);
  - None of them are accreting according to White & Basri (2003) accretion criterion;
  - Nevertheless, few stars show 10%-width larger than the median of the sample plus a slow rotation rate;
  - Stars show Li I (6708Å) in absorption and IR excess;

There is evidence that these stars should have on-going accretion!



### 1. Introduction

# **Motivation:** Is there on-going accretion among low-mass members of the ONC?

### • Previously in Biazzo+(2009):

- Characterized 91 low-mass ONC members (FLAMES/GIRAFFE);
- None of them are accreting according to White & Basri (2003) accretion criterion;
- Nevertheless, few stars show 10%-width larger than the median of the sample plus a slow rotation rate;
- Stars show Li I (6708Å) in absorption and IR excess;



### 2. Sample

## Were observed 4 of the *possible accretors* from Biazzo+(2009) + JW847 between January and March 2015

- X-shooter spectrograph @ VLT
   Vernet+(2011)
- UVB + VIS + NIR 300-2500 nm
- Resolution UVB / VIS / NIR 4000 / 6700 / 3900
- Reduction with v2.7.1 X-shooter pipeline + IRAF Modigliani+(2010)



Figure made with Aladin Lite interactive sky maps (Bonnarel+2000; Boch & Fernique 2014)

# These ONC members have been characterized in several large surveys

Table 1: Stellar parameters available in the literature for the ONC targets.

JW	2MASS	$d^{(1)}({ m pc})$	SpT <sup>(2)</sup>	$RV^{(3)}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$v \sin i^{(4)}  (\mathrm{km}  \mathrm{s}^{-1})$
180	J05345819-0511536	323	M5	$20.0 \pm 1.4$	$44.1 \pm 1.0$
293	J05350682-0510385	386	M5	$27.9 \pm 1.7$	$46.8 \pm 3.0$
647	J05352029-0530395	412	M5e	$26.4 \pm 0.3$	$15.0 \pm 0.7$
847	J05352983-0532534	386	K3/G8	$27.8 \pm 0.2$	$44.5 \pm 0.7$
908	J05353534-0511114	395	M4.5	$29.4 \pm 0.6$	$16.3 \pm 0.6$

### **References:**

(1) Gaia Collaboration+(2016,2018); Luri+(2018); (2) Hillenbrand(1997); (3) Cottaar+(2015); (4) Da Rio+(2016)

# These ONC members have been characterized in several large surveys

Table 1: Stellar parameters available in the literature for the ONC targets.

JW	2MASS	$d^{(1)}({ m pc})$	SpT <sup>(2)</sup>	$RV^{(3)}$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$v \sin i^{(4)}  (\mathrm{km}  \mathrm{s}^{-1})$
180	J05345819-0511536	323	M5	$20.0 \pm 1.4$	$44.1 \pm 1.0$
293	J05350682-0510385	386	M5	$27.9 \pm 1.7$	$46.8 \pm 3.0$
647	J05352029-0530395	412	M5e	$26.4 \pm 0.3$	$15.0 \pm 0.7$
847	J05352983-0532534	386	K3/G8	$27.8 \pm 0.2$	$44.5 \pm 0.7$
908	J05353534-0511114	395	M4.5	$29.4 \pm 0.6$	$16.3 \pm 0.6$

### **References:**

(1) Gaia Collaboration+(2016,2018); Luri+(2018); (2) Hillenbrand(1997); (3) Cottaar+(2015); (4) Da Rio+(2016)

Reclassified as a M0.5 Hillenbrand+(2013)

# We used spectral indices to infer about SpT

- Spectral type
  - 1) Narrow-band spectral indices (ratios of average fluxes)
    - <u>M-type:</u> Riddick+(2007)
    - <u>K and early M-type:</u> Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2014)
    - K5 and later: Jeffries+(2007)
  - 2) Target spectra vs templates
- Effective temperature
  - **1)** <u>M-type:</u> Luhman+(2003)
  - 2) Earlier type: Kenyon & Hartmann (1995)

Average of spectral types

Non-accreting class III YSOs Manara+(2013,2017)

Temperature scales

Table 2: Spectral type and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  derived for the ONC targets.

JW	SpT lit	Sp	SpT derived in this work			$T_{\rm eff}({\rm K})$
	$H97^{(1)}$	RRL07 <sup>(2)</sup>	$J07^{(3)}$	$HH14^{(4)}$	Adopted	
180	M5	M5.0	M5.1	M5.0	M5	3125
293	M5	M4.8	M4.8	M4.8	M4.5	3197
647	M5e	M3.2	M0.6	M2.1	<b>M</b> 1	3705
847	K3/G8		K6.6	K1.2	K6	4205
908	M4.5	M4.5	M4.5	M4.4	M4.5	3197

### **References:**

(1) Hillenbrand (1997); (2) Riddick+(2007); (3) Jeffries+(2007); (4) Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2014)

Table 2: Spectral type and  $T_{\text{eff}}$  derived for the ONC targets.

JW	SpT lit	Sp	SpT derived in this work			$T_{\rm eff}({\rm K})$
	$H97^{(1)}$	RRL07 <sup>(2)</sup>	$J07^{(3)}$	$HH14^{(4)}$	Adopted	
180	M5	M5.0	M5.1	M5.0	M5	3125
293	M5	M4.8	M4.8	M4.8	M4.5	3197
647	M5e	M3.2	M0.6	M2.1	M1	3705
847	K3/G8		K6.6	K1.2	K6	4205
908	M4.5	M4.5	M4.5	M4.4	M4.5	3197

### **References:**

(1) Hillenbrand (1997); (2) Riddick+(2007); (3) Jeffries+(2007); (4) Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2014)

## Gaia DR2 distances were used to derive L\* and R\*

- Luminosity
  - Stellar flux is integrated for the entire spectrum
  - Flux  $\rightarrow$  Luminosity:  $L_* = 4\pi d^2 F_*$
- Stellar radius

$$R_* = \left(\frac{F_* d_*^2}{\sigma T_{\rm eff}^4}\right)^{1/2}$$

Table 3: Luminosity and stellar radius derived for the ONC targets.

JW	$\log L_*(L_{\odot})$	$R_*(R_\odot)$
180	-0.97	1.12
293	-0.89	1.17
647	-0.35	1.62
847	0.28	2.62
908	-0.86	1.21

# We used the evolutionary tracks and isochrones of Siess+(2000) to plot the HR diagram

- This work
- ▲ JW647 after veiling correction  $(r_{610nm} = 0.5)$
- Hillenbrand (1997) rescaled to Gaia DR2 distances



Table 4: Mass and age interpolated with Siess on-line tool for the ONC targets.

JW	$M_*(M_{\odot})$	Age (Myr)
180	0.17	3.53
293	0.21	3.14
647	0.47	1.96
847	0.90	1.03
908	0.21	2.99

### JW647 shows the strongest Balmer Jump in the sample



- Balmer jump ~370 nm;
- BJ<sub>obs</sub> = F(360 nm) / F(400 nm)
   Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008)
- Accretor if BJ<sub>obs</sub> > 0.5 for any mid-M-type dwarf

JW	SpT	BJ <sub>obs</sub>
	(This work)	
180	M5	0.53
293	M4.5	0.46
647	M1	1.08
847	<b>K</b> 6	0.61
908	M4.5	0.50

Table 5: Observed Balmer jump measurements.

### JW647 shows the strongest Balmer Jump in the sample



- Balmer jump ~370 nm;
- BJ<sub>obs</sub> = F(360 nm) / F(400 nm)
   Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008)
- Accretor if BJ<sub>obs</sub> > 0.5 for any mid-M-type dwarf

JW	SpT	$BJ_{\rm obs}$
	(This work)	
180	M5	0.53
293	M4.5	0.46
647	M1	1.08
847	K6	0.61
908	M4.5	0.50

Table 5: Observed Balmer jump measurements.

### JW647 shows the strongest Balmer Jump in the sample



- Balmer jump ~370 nm;
- BJ<sub>obs</sub> = F(360 nm) / F(400 nm)
   Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008)
- Accretor if BJ<sub>obs</sub> > 0.5 for any mid-M-type dwarf

 Table 5: Observed Balmer jump measurements.

JW	SpT	BJ <sub>obs</sub>
	(This work)	
180	M5	0.53
293	M4.5	0.46
647	M1	1.08
847	<b>K</b> 6	0.61
908	M4.5	0.50

# Several Balmer lines show Inverse P Cygni (IPC) profiles for JW647

- H7 or Hε (397.0 nm) is partially blended with Ca II H (396.8 nm);
- The redshifted absorption extends until few hundreds of km/s;
- The IPC overlaps in all the Balmer lines presented.

JW647 should be accreting!



 $f_{line}$ 



$$f_{line} \rightarrow L_{line} \rightarrow \log(L_{acc}/L) = a \log(L_{line}/L) + b$$
  
Alcalá+ (2014)

$$f_{line} \to L_{line} \to L_{acc} \to \dot{M}_{acc} = \left(1 - \frac{R_*}{R_{in}}\right)^{-1} \frac{L_{acc}R_*}{GM_*} \approx 1.25 \frac{L_{acc}R_*}{GM_*}$$
$$\bigcup_{R_{in} = 5R_*}$$
Gullbring+ (1998)

 $\left\{ \log \dot{M}_{\rm acc} \left( M_{\odot} y r^{-1} \right) \right.$ 

- We found several accretion tracers for:
  - **JW180** (-10.1)
  - **JW293** (-10.0)
  - **JW647** (-8.5)
  - **JW908** (-9.8)
- **JW847** has only few accretion tracers in emission.

Line	$\lambda$ (nm)
H10	379.8
H9	383.5
H8	388.9
Сан (К)	393.4
Сап (Н)	396.8
H7 (H $\epsilon$ )	397.0
Heı	402.6
H6 (H $\delta$ )	410.2
H5 (H $\gamma$ )	434.0
Heı	447.1
H4 (Hβ)	486.1
Hei	587.6
Heı	667.8
Heı	706.5
Pa7 (Pa $\delta$ )	1004.9
Pa6 (Pa $\gamma$ )	1093.8
Pa5 (Pa $\beta$ )	1281.8
Br7 (Br $\gamma$ )	2166.1

- We found several accretion tracers for:
  - **JW180** (-10.1)
  - JW293 (-10.0)
    JW647 (-8.5)
  - **JW908** (-9.8)

$$\log \dot{M}_{\rm acc} \left( M_{\odot} y r^{-1} \right)$$

• **JW847** has only few accretion tracers in emission.

$\lambda$ (nm)
379.8
383.5
388.9
393.4
396.8
397.0
402.6
410.2
434.0
447.1
486.1
587.6
667.8
706.5
1004.9
1093.8
1281.8
2166.1

## IR excess — Circumstellar disk



### IR excess — Circumstellar disk



# We suspect that we have transitional and pretransitional disks in our sample

 Pre-transitional disk: Has a gap that separates an inner dust ring from an outer one. Shows significant <u>NIR (1-5 µm)</u> and <u>MIR (5-20 µm)</u> excess.



Pre-Transitional Disk

15/17

# We suspect that we have transitional and pretransitional disks in our sample







Pre-Transitional Disk

15/17

# We suspect that we have transitional and pretransitional disks in our sample

- Pre-transitional disk: Has a gap that separates an inner dust ring from an outer one. Shows significant <u>NIR (1-5 µm)</u> and <u>MIR (5-20 µm)</u> excess.
- Transitional disk: Has an inner disk hole. Shows small NIR excess and strong MIR excess.



**Transitional Disk** 

## We suspect that we have transitional and pretransitional disks in our sample



# Conclusions

- JW847 has no significant accretion tracers in emission;
- JW647 has clearly on-going accretion supported by:
  - IPC profiles among Balmer emission lines
  - Typical accretion rate for a CTTS:  $\dot{M}_{\rm acc} = 10^{-8.5} M_{\odot}/yr^{-1}$
- JW180, JW293 and JW847: Small NIR + strong MIR excesses → transitional disks;
- JW647:

Significant NIR + MIR excesses → **pre-transitional disk**;

• **JW908** shows no NIR excess, but we do not have MIR photometry. Has accretion tracers in emission.

# Thank you!

# **Questions?**

This work was supported by FCT through national funds and by FEDER through COMPETE2020 by the grants: UID/FIS/04434/2019 and PTDC/FIS-AST/32113/2017 & POCI-01-0145-FEDER-028987. RMGA is supported by the fellowship PD/BD/113745/2015, under the FCT PD Program PhD::SPACE (PD/00040/2012), funded by FCT and POPH/FSE. We acknowledge financial support from of CNRS/INSU (France) and from CRUP through the PAUILF cooperation program (TC-16/17).

